

## MEDIA ACCOMPLICES TO 9 11 CRIME DESERVE INDICTMENT How the American media continues to aid and abet the crime of the twenty-first century

By Fritz Springmeier

Isn't it a delight that our media works hand in glove with our government? No one is going to plug politically incorrect material into our minds. We will all be united on the same page of information, and marching off to war in unison. Of course, people in other countries are disappointed that we don't have a free press. They find it strange that we Americans spend hours shopping around for the best model car but we seldom shop for news information. After all, they point out, weren't the roots of this nation founded on a free flow of information, and the chance for debate to sort out the facts? This article is meant to take you back to the roots of what made America the envy of the world.

Our state's big daily newspaper warns us in an article, "During World War 1, excited patriots went around kicking dachshunds, on the grounds that they were 'German dogs.' What a blow for freedom that was. One would have hoped our fellow citizens would show just a modicum more sense. On the other hand, it is quite likely some of these stories aren't true: Rumors are particularly apt to circulate in a climate of fear, particularly on the Internet." (The Oregonian, October 19, 2001, page C-13)

In an earlier paper, our establishment newspaper warned us with the headline, "Attacks give extremists new ammunition". The article states, "The extreme right and the extreme left have some extreme similarities, including their hatred for federal and international governments," said Randy Blazak, a professor of sociology at Portland State University and an expert on U.S. hate groups. " So, it's not surprising that extremes from both ends could see something positive from these attacks." The paper goes on to say, "While publicly professing sympathy for the innocent victims of the tragedy, they privately revel in the attack [on the World Trade Center]." (The Oregonian, September 23, 2001, A-21)

Perhaps you have noticed similar articles in your local large daily newspaper in your state.

The message here is that the Internet is unreliable and that anti-government leftists and rightists are reveling, gloating, about the September Eleventh tragedy. Did the newspaper interview grief stricken Christian patriots or grief-stricken socialists to learn how happy they feel about September Eleventh? Did they photograph Patriots partying in celebration? No, rather than interview one single rightist or leftist, they went directly to the Southern Poverty Law Center, which has contempt for anyone against the New World Order and anyone genuinely conservative. It would be hard to find anyone more hatefully biased against anti-government activists than the Southern Poverty Law Center to interview. This is not investigative reporting. It is propaganda. It's hard enough to figure out a person's feeling by directly asking the person. Are we really going to find out how Rudolf the Red Nose Reindeer feels by asking the primary scoffing deer?

What is the proper way to investigate a crime? The best manuals for investigating a crime teach that one should not start with preconceived ideas, but rather that investigation, questions, and gathering of data are crucial for the investigator to come to an accurate assessment of what happened.

During the first month following the September Eleventh crimes, I saw many intelligent good questions come over the Internet about the crime. Dozens of Internet articles have asked important insightful questions to help us solve the crimes of the September Eleventh tragedy, which the establishment newspapers and other media have ignored, and appear trying to cover up. In fact, I know from some newsmen, that their bosses have given instructions to their writers not cover certain important facts and questions and lines of inquiry. How dare they hide things from you about the biggest crime (so far) of the twenty-first century? You have a right to know these things. If America was attacked, and America is still the target, then don't you have a right to know who attacked you, how they attacked and why? If Osama bin Laden attacked America (not our government, not a battleship, but the man in the street)-you and I, then don't we the intended victims have a right to know what our would be killer wants to say to us? And let's get this fact straight, whether Osama bin Laden carried out the attack on the World Trade Center or not, he had clearly declared war on all Americans.

You as an American have been the target of kidnappings, assassinations, torture and other abuse for years as you traveled in foreign lands. There are people out there who view it as open season on any American. I've traveled, I know. I have had the privilege to bump into strangers who hated me simply because I was an American. They didn't know my politics, they didn't know my religion or

personality, and they didn't care. I wasn't the ugly American, I was the loathed American.

Because the establishment news is covering up the facts about the September Eleventh tragedy, because they are encouraging you not to go to the Internet for answers, and to disregard anything anti-government "extremists" would say, I think you should read the kind of questions the news media should have asked, but won't. The fact that these obvious questions are not being asked by the establishment media, makes them accomplices to the crime. They are covering up a crime, and deserve to be indicted by the American people. (For those who are legalists, yes Black's Law Dictionary says it IS possible to be an accomplice to a crime by helping AFTER the crime is committed.)

(By the way, I know lots of leftists and rightists, and I have yet to see a single one of them jumping for joy, gloating, or even smiling at the horrible catastrophic loss of life that took place on September Eleventh. Some of these "extremists" cried in sorrow, some of them went for grief therapy, but none that I know were jumping for joy like the establishment news would want you to believe, after all they are Americans too. After all, the attack on the World Trade Center killed indiscriminately, it didn't separate its victims-conservatives, leftists, rightists, atheists, Christians, Brits, Japanese, Arabs-anyone in the area was in danger of death. Some of these leftists and rightists lost friends. But the establishment news media, which is so quick to channel hate towards both "extremes", because they question the propaganda that is spoon fed to Americans, tends to forget that. It tends to forget that these people love America too even though they don't suck up to these arrogant news people's view of things.)

For years, Americans have had to suffer under the obnoxious air of superiority that radiates from the news media. Too bad their mothers didn't teach them respect and humility. "All the news worth printing we print", they tell us. They tell us that their right to invade our privacy to do a hatchet job on some helpless schmuck is done for the public in the name of the public. Like the courts and police who hassle the poor, and neglect the prosecution of white-collar crime, the news media like easy prey, but back off when it comes to exposing the global elite. You'd think Gorbachev is nearly a saint, too bad they don't interview his Gulag victims. Too bad these networks are owned by the super rich and super powerful. We would like the news media to ask the right questions about the September Eleventh tragedy. These people claim to have an ear for news and the ability to get to the truth, however, once you see all the questions they have neglected, you will see why at least tens of thousands, maybe even millions of Americans are disgusted with the lack of real news reporting. We may have to turn the tables on them in disgust and start asking them the hard questions. You will have ample opportunity before this article is over to become disgusted with these traitors who have betrayed your trust.

If you have you been enjoying all those news articles that are beating the drums of war, and reporting how our courageous troops are grandly attacking Afghanistan and the Taliban. Yes, close your eyes and remember the images of one of those bombed out Afghani villages. Are you smiling because you feel revenge? While you're looking at that image of a bombed village, look at the smelly fly-covered guts of a little Afghan boy and his mutilated hand laying fifty feet from the mush that was his body, and notice and feel the hate in the eyes of his twin brother who vows to kill an American when he grows up. I want you to

realize that America's bombs are planting seeds of hate and planting future generations of terrorism. Isn't it amazing how our establishment press can be used to stampede us into another world war, which will not make us safer in the long run?

Will anyone tell the emperor he has no clothes? Will anyone ask the young men who are doing their military duty by risking their bodies and minds the questions in this article? Do our young men and women really understand what this war is about better than our young men who went to Vietnam? When will we win, when we kill bin Laden, or when we kill the last terrorist? Do we win when the violence stops? Is our country escalating the violence, or is the killing decreasing? But we are getting way ahead of ourselves. Let's back up to September Eleventh.

IMPORTANT QUESTIONS THE ESTABLISHMENT MEDIA HAS COVERED UP. Why have several investigative reporters who learned important details been shut up? For instance, why was one reporter who had three giant loose-leaf binders full of affidavits, names and research gotten rid of and shut up.

It is said that the successful quality of a story is determined by the success at asking good questions.

The media loves to ask questions, but they act afraid of most of the real important questions concerning the September Eleventh tragedy. Are they afraid of the questions in this article? Are they afraid of what the answers might be? Why are they missing out on the opportunity to discover the answers?

There are hundreds of important interesting questions that should have been asked about the September Eleventh

tragedy and the U.S. government's reaction to it. Before snowing the reader with numerous questions, let's give four sample questions and then we will proceed to put all these numerous questions into categories:

1. How do eight (yes, 8! two per flight) indestructible black boxes of the hijacked jets with important information end up destroyed? These black boxes are designed to withstand much more heat than can be generated in any of these crashes, and the crash in Pennsylvania didn't even cause an explosion. And yet the passports of two of the hijackers survived the crash into the Pentagon building, and Attorney General Ashcroft reports the passport of one of the suicide bombers landed 8 blocks from the World Trade Center clean-up site. (? ?)
2. If fear is the weapon of a terrorist, why is the media helping create that weapon? Why are they working overtime to create semi-hysteria about Anthrax, rather than responsibly putting out the facts in truthful context? Why are we not being told the truth about the Anthrax attacks?
3. When there is clear evidence that the C I A, F B I, Mossad, and Russian Intelligence all knew ahead of time that the attacks were coming, why is none of this being presented and told to the American people?
4. How does the south tower, which was only marginally hit, and marginally burning compared to the north tower collapse first? This is especially puzzling when the North Tower was hit 18 minutes earlier, and was also not only hit earlier but hit dead center in a much more devastating head on fashion. And it bears repeating, just how did the World Trade Center towers collapse like they did? We all saw it happen, but many of us know that buildings built half as sturdy have refused to fall even after weeks of burning. For

instance, many buildings hit in W.W. II left shells of buildings, but did not crumble into dust. How did these buildings simply crumble and implode upon themselves?

Everything about the September Eleventh "Attacks on America" and America's follow up campaign of retaliation smells fishy and leaves the thinking person full of questions. If we are afraid of these questions we may be afraid of their possible answers. We can divide our questions up like this: A. Questions pertaining to how we were not protected. B. Questions pertaining to how the event could have happened. C. Questions pertaining to who helped create this tragedy. D. Questions pertaining to how the media was controlled. E. Questions pertaining to who benefited from this tragedy.

Questions pertaining to HOW WE WERE NOT PROTECTED. Why were F B I agents who had an important World Trade Center suspect in custody before the attack refused permission to investigate him, when the suspect had information that could have exposed and prevented the attack? Why are their agents still being restricted?

How do these intelligence agencies get off so easy with saying they were totally surprised, when Iran has been using two airports exclusively for training pilots to use Boeing jets for just this kind of terrorist attack, and they have been training at these airports for over a decade? How do they get off so easy when Philippine Intelligence tried to warn them as well as a 29-year-old Iranian in Germany?

If bin Laden is an important terrorist, why didn't our government arrest him after they blamed him for terrorist attacks? The Sudanese wanted to arrest him in 1996 and

1998 and turn him over to the U.S. and Clinton turned their offers down. Why?

Why is it being downplayed that numerous people, including F B I agents, gave warnings about the attacks and these were ignored?

Why was the militant Muslim connection to the Oklahoma City bombing suppressed? Why did Clinton publicly blame Russ Limbaugh and other talk show hosts for fomenting terrorism after the Oklahoma City bombing, and yet ignore enormous evidence that there was a militant Muslim connection?

Why have numerous rational proposals that would give protection for planes like sky marshals, sealed cockpits, armed pilots, planes that can be flown remotely from ground control if need be, and other protective suggestions not been widely implemented, but increased surveillance and control of passengers and airport visitors and their bags has been implemented? In other words, why have simple practical suggestions not been implemented to protect airplanes, but measures that strip us of our freedoms are implemented? Why did the Bush administration try to get Michael Canavan, head of F A A security, to remove sky marshals from high-risk flights (those that were at the greatest risk of being hijacked) after September Eleventh? (By the way, Canavan resigned over the incident.)

Why did our government bring over thousands of Iraqis of Saddam Hussein's loyal Republican Guard after the Gulf war?

Why is the anthrax vaccine so scarce, after twenty years of threats? Why did the anthrax vaccines that were injected

into our troops cause severe medical problems?

Why has the media helped the government keep the significance of the post-September Eleventh attack cabinet level Office of Homeland Security secret?

What do the Russians know that is causing them to stay away from visiting America?

Questions pertaining to HOW THE EVENT COULD HAVE HAPPENED. How can four enormous planes with passengers get hijacked, diverted from their approved flight paths for over one and a half hours and this not cause the appropriate alarms? How does our terrorist SWAT teams, our air defenses, our air traffic controllers sleep for such a long time while these big planes turn around, leave their computerized flight paths, and head for targets? Why wasn't everyone alerted? Why are pilots of such type of jets saying that these things cannot just happen? And why are they not being interviewed? After millions of dollars have been spent in the past to supposedly protect us from such incidents, why did this normally impossible scenario happen so easily?

How is it that the Cockpit Voice Recorders (C V R's) are said to be blank?

How do two buildings that were built to withstand huge storms and the impact of Boeing 7 O 7 jetliners, (which carry more fuel, than the ones that hit) crumble to the ground in minutes as if a water fountain were turned off?

How does steel beams in the World Trade Center towers that cannot melt until extremely high temperatures are reached, melt from temperatures that could not have been even a fraction of what it would have taken? How do concrete floors turn to dust when they collapse like

pancakes? How does the collapse of the World Trade Center towers begin where the heat would have been almost non-existent? How do smoky fires, which suggest a relatively low temperature, not be easily identified as unable to melt tough steel girders?

Why are the news media paranoid about the suggestion that someone could have placed bombs in the World Trade Center buildings? Why have the B A T F agents been looking through the rubble quietly for two weeks? Why did the media dismiss witnesses who heard explosions before the building came down?

The media claims gas lines on the one hundred fourth floor may have exploded 53 minutes after the plane hit, why has this absurd theory not been questioned, when it is Standard Operation Procedure to put in automatic safety valves to pass building codes.

How did a building around the World Trade Center collapse first?

How did Barbara Olson, a famous investigator, who called on a cell phone when her plane was hijacked, forget to describe the hijackers to her husband, the Solicitor General (a high-powered attorney)? Or did she describe the hijackers and her description never get repeated or mentioned by her husband? Or did she even make the call? Did any of the three cell phone calls that are reported to have happened during the hijacking ever occur?

How do pilots allow someone to hijack them with box cutters?

Why didn't the multitude of airport security cameras catch the hijackers on their video tapes, and if they did, why haven't the police used this film footage to correctly

identify the hijackers to the public? Many of these cameras are digital and run for hours, what happened to the tapes? Why is the media demanding to see these? Why isn't this kind of evidence available to buttress the government's claim it was Osama bin Laden who did the crime?

Why are National Guard and Army reservists being Federalized into federal police and then trained at the U.S. Army School of Urban Control at Fort Campbell, Kentucky, to set up roadblocks in America?

Questions pertaining to WHO HELPED CREATE THIS TRAGEDY. Why has media allowed American leaders to be so quick to indiscriminately bomb Muslims, like President Clinton to hide his sexual scandal? Why is the media not pointing out that the historical record has clearly and repeatedly proven bombing only stiffens resistance and breeds more violence. Look at London, Berlin, and Leningrad during World War 2; bombing only aggravated civilian populations. So why is this not being told to the American people? One plus one equals two, but we are not being clearly told the obvious by the media. Occasionally, it is mentioned, but in such an offhand minor way as to slip by the attention of most people.

Why don't we hear about President Carter's July 3, 1979 directive that sent aid to Afghanistan and triggered Soviet intervention into Afghanistan, thereby creating the war that was used by the C I A to create the militant Muslims we are now fighting.

Semi-trucks on the highways can now be controlled from their home offices via satellite. Their speed, and so forth, can be controlled. Large Boeing passenger jets in the U.S. have reportedly also had equipment installed that makes them remote controlled. The U.S. flew a plane by remote

control (Global Hawk Technology) without a pilot from California to Australia. If the Boeing jets had remote control apparatus on board their controls, what role did that technology play in the crashes of the airplanes on September Eleventh?

Questions pertaining to HOW THE MEDIA WAS CONTROLLED. How do the newspapers call themselves a free unbiased press, and then refuse to print bin Laden's message to the American people in its entirety?

Why did President George W. Bush quietly threaten to prosecute six major communications empires when they got too close to inquiring about BioPort, the strange and suspicious company that is supposed to make anthrax vaccines? Why aren't honest questions and answers about the Gulf War Syndrome that may have killed 15000 soldiers and made 85000 others terribly sick, as well as caused deformed babies being asked? Many of the same people that may be guilty of that tragedy are still active. Why are they not being exposed, when a repeat disaster may occur?

Why is the government's threats to the television networks not to air any future taped statements from bin Laden without censoring out those parts the government doesn't want being agreed to? Why is everyone so afraid of bin Laden "getting his message out"? Do they seriously think that a potential mass murderer of innocent people is going to be able to influence Americans adversely? What are they really afraid of?

Why are we still not being told about American LaFarge, a French firm that supplied Saddam Hussein the ingredients for Iraq's poison gas to kill Iraqis, Kurds, Iranians and whoever else Hussein wants to gas? Why does the media not tell us that Hillary Rodham Clinton is one of American

LaFarge's directors, and George Bush the elder is one of its major stockholders.

Why does the media not tell us how Prescott Bush (George W. Bush's grandfather) financially helped Hitler to power. Why don't they tell us how his father George H.W. Bush financially helped Noriega and Saddam Hussein come to power? Why don't they tell us how George W. Bush (junior) has been in business in the past with Osama bin Laden and recently paid the Taliban 14 million taxpayer dollars, over the objections of many Congressmen?

Why has the news media ignored how the C I A has helped Libya train and supply terrorists? Why has the new media ignored how the oil companies have been behind Colonel Qaddafi's success? Why have the news media ignored how the C I A and Russia worked together to create the international militant Muslims that we now are going to war against?

Why has the media ridiculed those of us who have warned that the Middle East has been prepared by the Global power brokers for another world war? Why are we being set up for failure in another war that makes little sense? Why isn't the media a genuine platform for creative productive peaceful solutions, rather than simply acting as the military's propaganda machine arousing war fever? (For readers who don't watch television or read Pravda quite a few networks, FOX, C N B C, Saturday Night Live, etcetera, have had guests on, usually Jewish, who are rapidly for war, and in favor of bombing the Muslims.)

Why are the media ignoring reports of military reverses?

Why are the Ariel Sharon's attempts to provoke a Mid-East war by using F-16 jets to bomb against civilian targets not

being exposed by the media? Peace loving Israelis are speaking out about it, but not our media, why?

Why are witnesses with something to say being intimidated?

Why has the media downplayed what is historically known about how Pearl Harbor, the Gulf of Tonkin incident, the Lusitania, the Maine? All of these incidents, which were used to get us into war, were not what the public perceived them to be.

Questions pertaining to WHO BENEFITED FROM THIS TRAGEDY. Why is the obvious question, "Who benefits most from the attack?" Not being asked and not being honestly answered?

Why aren't we hearing about the large oil fields (trillions of dollars in Central Asia needing a pipeline) and opium fields (harvested in Afghanistan and marketed via the elite's international Triads) that are at stake in this war?

Why has the media ignored the fact that obvious insider trading in United and American Airlines stock, just before September Eleventh, provided certain people (including C I A people) with 9 million dollars in stock profit. In other words, the C I A knew ahead of time about the attack and made 9 million dollars in profit, and the news media has ignored this story. There was insider trading also on the stock of firms that were in the World Trade Center buildings, via banks that the C I A watches and are connected to. Why is this being kept secret by the media?

Why has the media been relatively quiet that the Bush family will make large amounts of profits from this new expensive war? Why did the Wall Street Journal, which actually was asking the right questions, expose how the bin

Laden family will make profits off of U.S. defense spending, yet fail to mention their business partners, the Bushes?

Why has the bin Laden family continued to funnel money to Osama bin Laden via a set of banks that is also used as a depository for accounts set up for bribed judges (i.e. La Salle National Bank)? How deep is the estrangement between bin Laden and his family, when he called his grandmother two days before the September Eleventh attack? If we do conclude that the bin Laden family and Osama bin Laden are seriously estranged (on the outs with each other), why aren't questions about how people can be connected via mind-control programming and blackmail being addressed?

Whoever masterminded the September Eleventh attacks was intelligent and able to think ahead-and yet it should have been clear that these attacks would not help the Palestinian cause, and would cause such a backlash of anti-Muslim sentiments that Muslim causes would receive a setback. How can we believe that the mastermind was so silly as to not pick more rational, productive targets? Why not attack the Mossad or the C I A's buildings? Was the World Trade Center a rational target for Muslims wanting America to support their viewpoint?

Larry Silverstein was the new owner of the World Trade Center before it disappeared, who had new insurance policies on it. How much is he standing to make from insurance?

Why are the N S A and the C I A getting more money out of all of this? Why is no one upset at what is at best incompetence and at worst is co-conspiracy with the perpetrators of this crime? Why is the media not calling for the resignations of the incompetents? Why aren't the men

who lead our intelligence sick with grief that they failed to warn us, and why don't they have the honor to resign? Do we really believe they will protect us the next time around? Are they?

Bush calls this war Operation Enduring Freedom, and after the September Eleventh tragedy he was immediately reassuring Americans that freedom would be preserved. Why is the operation called this name? Why are Americans being told that freedom will be preserved while the government and Bush are working as fast and as secretly as they can to strip us of all the privileges the Bill of Rights gave us? Where are our civil liberties going? What freedom is going to be left to defend?

Already they have limited the right to free speech, put more limits on what guns can be owned (old military guns are to be turned in), the police are militarized, the National Guard are now a federal police force, and "everyone" can do warrantless random searches, there are more invasions of privacy and severe restrictions on travel. This is protecting freedom? How does a government honestly get by with calling this protecting freedom, except in an Orwellian world where the media works with the government?

Where is the evidence that Osama bin Laden is responsible?

Pres. Bush says he is a Bible-believing Christian, and that we are the "good" guys. But the Bible says all have sinned and that mankind is basically in rebellion to him. How does Bush honestly cast America in the role of angels and saints? How does the so-called liberal media allow him to paint America as a good nation after our record of exploiting the poor, abortion, porn, immorality, interventionism, and with our past and ongoing record of stealing the native

Americans' homeland? Does the media actually now believe we are the "good guys" and the other side is "evil"? Or is there some good and bad in all of us? President George W. Bush said that, "Enemies of America will include nations that harbor, support, train, provide shelter and staging facilities to terrorists." We trained the alleged terrorist pilots. Our nation was used as the staging ground for the operation. Are we a terrorist nation-we fit the description of Bush's criteria? Didn't the Bible say that to get right with God a person or a nation needs to admit of their true condition, and then He will come and heal them? Is the media helping us by giving us delusions of goodness?

You shameful media hypocrites, men who would like to seem wise, but are foolish, who have never dared to confront evil in yourselves let alone organized evil, do you know that the wisdom of God says that the person that covers his sin shall not prosper?

I think there something in this world worth salvaging. Let's do it. Let's have the moral courage to think ahead before our nation rendezvous with the New World Order and several continents of corpses. As someone astutely said, "An eye for an eye leaves everyone blind."

The Word of God says men love darkness. Quit loving the darkness, dear, and pull your head out of your rear, and then you'll see the hazy future becomes quite clear, it's the Fourth Reich of our evil Rulers. The choice they want to offer us will be to be a tamed animal, a wild animal, or a caged animal. Better to be a "wild and free animal" with a clean human conscience, than a spineless jellyfish that flows with the current straight for our nation's rendezvous with the New World Order and several continents of corpses. Slime and crime do more than rhyme. They go together in other ways too.

The real criminals of the September Eleventh crime deserve to be brought to justice, and the establishment media has been an accomplice by hiding these murderers.

